Frequently Asked Questions

How to Build Confidence in Karst Characterization?

1. Why are karst sites difficult to characterize?

Karst conditions can change dramatically over short distances. Groundwater flow, contaminant migration, and ground stability may be controlled by a complex network of fractures, conduits, sinkholes, and voids rather than by relatively uniform formation properties. Two nearby borings or wells can therefore encounter entirely different conditions. These features may also be hydraulically connected over long distances, potentially allowing water and contaminants to move rapidly toward springs, wells, surface water, or other receptors. Characterizing the site requires understanding not only where individual features occur, but how they connect within the broader karst system.

2. Why aren't borings and wells alone enough to characterize karst?

Borings and wells provide direct evidence, but only at the discrete locations investigated. Because controlling karst features may be narrow, irregular, or widely spaced, even a substantial drilling program can miss important pathways or produce an incomplete picture of site conditions. 2D continuous subsurface imaging helps fill the gaps between and beyond isolated data points. When integrated with drilling, it provides the spatial context needed to determine whether observed conditions are isolated or part of a larger connected system—and to develop a better supported conceptual site model (CSM).

3. Does electrical imaging eliminate the need for drilling?

No. Electrical imaging and conventional drilling serve complementary purposes. Imaging provides continuous spatial coverage and helps locate features that isolated borings or wells might miss, while drilling provides the direct evidence needed to confirm interpretations. Using imaging early in the investigation allows confirmation points to be positioned strategically rather than selected with limited knowledge of the subsurface. This improves the likelihood that borings and wells intersect the features controlling site conditions and increases the decision value of data from each location.

4. Does every geophysical anomaly represent a cave or void?

No. Electrical resistivity imaging measures contrasts in subsurface electrical properties, and those contrasts can have multiple potential causes. Anomaly geometry, depth, continuity, and relationship to surrounding geology help evaluate the most likely interpretation. Borings, cores, downhole logging, hydraulic testing, chemistry, and other site data can then be used where appropriate to further evaluate or confirm composition of significant features.

5. Why should karst be evaluated at the regional scale?

Karst groundwater does not necessarily follow property boundaries or simple hydraulic gradients. Recharge may enter through distant sinkholes or losing streams, while groundwater and contaminants may discharge at springs or other receptors at considerable distances from the source or recharge area. A regional-scale evaluation can help identify these larger hydraulic relationships.

6. How does high-resolution characterization of karst reduce project risk?

Appropriately designed high-resolution characterization helps reduce subsurface uncertainties most likely to affect project performance. Identifying controlling features early can reduce the risk of missed contamination, unrecognized migration pathways, unsuitable well locations, ineffective treatment, unexpected groundwater movement, and subsurface conditions that may contribute to ground-instability hazards. A higher-resolution CSM also gives project teams a stronger basis for selecting investigation locations, evaluating receptors, and designing remediation, groundwater-management, or hazard-mitigation measures. This provides a stronger technical basis for decisions and may lower the likelihood of late-stage redesign, schedule delays, and other costly surprises.

7. How can karst-system behavior change over time?

Karst systems can respond rapidly to precipitation, recharge, groundwater levels, pumping, and remediation. Pathways that appear hydraulically inactive under dry or low-flow conditions may become important pathways during storms or periods of elevated groundwater. Monitoring strategies should therefore account for both spatial and temporal variability. Springs, seeps, strategically placed wells, and other discharge points—combined with event-based sampling and temporal electrical resistivity imaging (TERI)—can help capture changing conditions and evaluate whether the CSM and selected project strategy remain appropriate as conditions evolve.

©2026 Aestus, LLC